City of Kelowna Regular Council Meeting AGENDA



Pages

1 - 14

Tuesday, August 25, 2015 6:00 pm Council Chamber City Hall, 1435 Water Street

1. Call to Order

5.

6.

2. Reaffirmation of Oath of Office

The Oath of Office will be read by Councillor Sieben.

3. Confirmation of Minutes

Public Hearing - August 11, 2015 Regular Meeting - August 11, 2015

4. Bylaws Considered at Public Hearing

	4.1	1285 Graham Road, BL11126 (Z15-0029) - W-Ten Development Ltd.	15 - 15
		To give Bylaw No. 11126 second and third readings in order to rezone the subject property to construct a semi-detached dwelling.	
	4.2	1457 Highway 33 East, BL11129 (Z15-0020) - Francesco Guarini	16 - 16
		To give Bylaw No. 11129 second and third readings in order to rezone the subject property to facilitate the development of a second dwelling.	
•	Notific	cation of Meeting	
		ty Clerk will provide information as to how the following items on the Agenda publicized.	
•	Liquor	License Application Reports	
	6.1	315 Lawrence Avenue, LL15-0012 - City of Kelowna	17 - 38
		City Clerk to state for the record any correspondence received. Mayor to invite anyone in the public gallery who deems themselves affected by the liquor license application to come forward. To seek Council's support for a Liquor Primary License for an existing Food	

Primary establishment located on the subject property.

7.	Devel Repor	velopment Permit, Development Variance Permit and Heritage Alteration Permit ports					
	7.1	902 Clifton Road, BL11107 (Z15-0008) - Dan & Mary Cresswell	39 - 39				
		To adopt Bylaw No. 11107 in order to rezone the subject property to allow for a carriage house.					
	7.2	902 Clifton Road, DP15-0057 & DVP15-0056 - Dan & Mary Cresswell	40 - 51				
		City Clerk to state for the record any correspondence received. Mayor to invite anyone in the public gallery who deems themselves affected by the required variance(s) to come forward. To consider the form and character, and a variance, for the conversion of an					
		existing Accessory Building to a Carriage House.					
	7.3	277 Mathison Place, HAP15-0005 - David & Claire Beedle	52 - 71				
		City Clerk to state for the record any correspondence received. Mayor to invite anyone in the public gallery who deems themselves affected by the required variance(s) to come forward.					
		To consider a heritage Alteration Permit for the form and character of additions to an existing single detached house and avariances to reduce the minimum front and flanking street side yard setbacks.					
	7.4	505 Doyle Avenue, DVP15-0168 - Doyle Avenue Holdings Ltd.	72 - 85				
		City Clerk to state for the record any correspondence received. Mayor to invite anyone in the public gallery who deems themselves affected by the required variance(s) to come forward.					

To vary several regulations in the C7 zone for the subject property.

- 8. Reminders
- 9. Termination



City of Kelowna Public Hearing Minutes

Date: Location: Tuesday, August 11, 2015 Council Chamber City Hall, 1435 Water Street

Members Present Deputy Mayor Luke Stack, Councillors Maxine DeHart, Ryan Donn, Gail Given, Tracy Gray, Charlie Hodge, Brad Sieben and Mohini Singh

Members Absent Mayor Colin Basran

Staff Present Acting City Manager, Doug Gilchrist; City Clerk, Stephen Fleming; Community Planning Manager, Ryan Smith; Community Planning Supervisor, Linsdey Ganczar*; Parking Services Manager, Dave Duncan*; Council Recording Secretary, Arlene McClelland

(* denotes partial attendance)

1. Call to Order

Deputy Mayor Stack called the Hearing to order at 6:00 p.m.

Deputy Mayor Stack advised that the purpose of the Hearing is to consider certain bylaws which, if adopted, will amend "*Kelowna 2030* - Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 10500" and Zoning Bylaw No. 8000", and all submissions received, either in writing or verbally, will be taken into consideration when the proposed bylaws are presented for reading at the Regular Council Meeting which follows this Public Hearing.

2. Notification of Meeting

The City Clerk advised that Notice of this Public Hearing was advertised by being posted on the Notice Board at City Hall on July 28, 2015 and by being placed in the Kelowna Capital News issues on Friday July 31 and Wednesday, August 5, 2015 and by sending out or otherwise delivering 140 statutory notices to the owners and occupiers of surrounding properties, and 5844 informational notices to residents in the same postal delivery route, between July 28 and July 31, 2015. The correspondence and/or petitions received in response to advertising for the applications on tonight's agenda were arranged and circulated to Council in accordance with Council Policy No. 309.

- 3. Individual Bylaw Submissions
 - 3.1 BL11114 (TA15-0006), Proposed Text Amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 - City of Kelowna

2

Staff:

Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application.

The City Clerk advised that no correspondence had been received.

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

561 McKay Avenue, BL11120 (OCP15-0009) & BL11121 (Z15-0026) - City of 3.2 Kelowna

Staff:

Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and responded to questions from Council.

The City Clerk advised that no correspondence had been received.

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

There were no further comments.

310 Mugford Road, BL11122 (Z15-0017) - Harjinder, Jaswinder & Swaran 3.3 Malhi

Staff:

Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and responded to questions from Council.

The City Clerk advised that the following correspondence and/or petitions had been received:

Letters of Concern or Opposition

Veronique Broger and Will Brundula, Mugford Road Noreen Carter, Mugford Road

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

Birte Decloux, Urban Options Planning & Permits, Applicant Representative

- Made reference to staff's report and indicated information that was missing.
- The Applicant wants to rezone to RU2 in order to subdivide the property into two lots with the intention of building a home on one lot with a suite for their parents and sell the second lot.
- Displayed numerous sketches and photographs identifying different aspects of the application.
- The Applicant's home will be two storey with the suite located at the rear designed as a walk in and walk out with no steps.
- The dwelling is not a large structure and would cover only 39% of this property. There will be a driveway and 2 car garage and will not infringe on on-street parking. The property is located in the Rutland Urban Centre. The OCP encourages compact urban
- growth and redevelopment in these kinds of areas.
- The neighbourhood is predominantly 2 storey homes. There are a number of homes in the area with secondary suites.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Gallery:

Sylvia McConnell, Mugford Road

- Raised concerns with the impact the development would have on the privacy she enjoys at her home.
- Raised concern with increased traffic and parking.
- Opposed to this application.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Birte Decloux, Applicant Representative

- Parking will be accommodated within the home.
- BC Building Code requires a certain fire separation between structures and minimizes privacy issues.
- Responded to questions from Council.

There were no further comments

3.4 140 Mugford Road, 405 & 425 Rutland Road, BL11123 (Z15-0010) - RA Quality Homes Ltd.

Staff:

Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and responded to questions from Council.

The City Clerk advised that the following correspondence had been received:

Letters of Concern or Opposition Jody Dais and Leroy Chase, Mugford Road

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

Doug Lane, Architect on behalf of Applicant:

- Available for questions.

Gallery:

Nancy Ryan, Rutland Road North

- Owner of adjacent property that has the encroaching accessory building.
- Does not think it's fair that the property owner has to remove the accessory building at their expense.
- Stated that at the time of purchasing the property the Realtor advised that the garage could stay and in the unlikelihood that it had to be removed it would be at the City's cost.
- Raised concern with impact on privacy with the development off the laneway as their property is already on the corner.
- Raised concern with increase of criminal activity and vandalism.
- Raised concern with parking as the area is currently congested.
- Raised concern with snow removal.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Beth Veenkamp and Michelle Fitzgerald, Sadler Road

- Raised concern with lane access and traffic implications that the development would bring.
- Suggested the city engage in a traffic study plan.

- Currently there are 2 speed bumps on Sadler Road to assist with traffic calming.
- Raised concern with lack of sidewalks on Sadler Road with heavy pedestrian traffic.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Staff:

- Confirmed the proposed development would have two lane way accesses.

Resident, Rutland Road

- Inquired if future roads could eliminate the need for the lane.
- Raised concern regarding traffic and suggested improvements.

Rick Martin, Rutland Road

- Do not have financial resources to remove the accessory building.
- Raised concerns with the increased traffic the laneway will generate.
- Commented on improvements made to the accessory building over the years.
- Raised concern where to park their multiple vehicles if accessory building is removed.

Tony Khunkhun, Mugford Road, Applicant

- Acknowledged traffic concerns raised.
- Confirmed that the development would have two lane accesses; one off Rutland Road North and one off of Mugford Road. Believes it will be a low traffic impact to the neighbourhood.
- Offered to pay for removal of accessory building at his expense.
- The neighbouring property (former Church) is owned by the city and is being upgraded. This will be a rejuvenation to the neighbourhood.
- Responded to questions from Council.

There were no further comments

3.5 2124 Pandosy Street, BL11124 (HRA15-0001) - F. DeVilliers Medical Prof. Corp. et al

Staff:

- Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and responded to questions from Council.

The City Clerk advised that the following correspondence had been received:

Letters of Support or Conditional Support

Patrick Bentley, Beach Avenue Karen Stewart & Harry Tonn, Francis Avenue Sunil Mangal, West 8th Avenue, Vancouver, BC

<u>Submission on behalf of the applicant</u> A two page submission of additional information as submitted by the applicant.

Letters of Concern or Opposition

Vicki Presley, Abbott Street James Avery, Abbott Heritage Area Society, Abbott Street Jan Bauman, Kelowna South Central Association of Neighbourhoods Dwight and Barbara Carroll, Water Street Rudy Schoenfeld, Maple Street Ian Chrichton, Park Avenue Janice Henry, Central Okanagan Heritage Society, Cameron Avenue Marten Gruenwald, Long Street Jillian Stamp and James Williams, Abbott Street Kelvin Hauta and Dee Capozzi, Cadder Avenue

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

Hazel Christy, Applicant Representative

- Provided a history of strata ownership of Cadder House.
- Clarified that a tax exemption agreement had been rescinded in the mid 2000's and currently there is no tax financial support.
- The owner's intention is to preserve this heritage house. There will be no change to the exterior or grounds of the house.
- Basement units now meet BC Building Code and are proposed to being part of one of the existing strata units.
- Provided a PowerPoint presentation outlining the Cadder House maintenance and operating costs.
- Noted the definition of commercial within the HRA is very limited.
- Met with immediate neighbours for consultation on the proposal. Reviewed 2 additional letters of support.
- Some units have been rented to individuals associated with the Kelowna General Hospital.
- Cadder House has enjoyed a long association with the Kelowna General Hospital.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Gallery:

Vern Miller, Cameron Avenue

- In support of the application.
- Holds the contract for grounds maintenance at Cadder House for past 7 years.
- Aware of the mounting future costs to keep the property up to standard.
- The location lends itself to commercial and short term residential uses. The need is there.

Neil Claire, Clinton BC

- Currently receiving care at the Cancer Clinic and resident of the Cadder House.
- The location of Cadder House is very convenient for patients at KGH.
- Short term rentals would be very convenient for patients.
- The property is an oasis in the neighbourhood.
- Believe the intent of the owner is sincere and solely for financial sustainability of the property and the house.
- In support of the current proposal.

Wayne Dodds, on behalf of Kelowna South Central Association of Neighbourhoods

- Referenced the letter from KSAN in the Council package.
- Supportive of the Heritage status.
- Should remain a residential building with no commercial at this time.
- The proposed HRA has many similarities to the HD3 zone which is not intended for residential properties.
- The proposed HRA seems to be putting the HD3 zoning into a residential house outside of the HD3 zone.
- Support the Cadder House remaining as residential.
- Responded to questions from Council.

5

Gary Chenko, Green Bay Road

- Alberta base businessman that lives in Kelowna.
- Supportive of short term rental use.
- Supports the application in its entirety.

Former Resident, Dubai

- Has lived in Dubai since 2008 but originally from Kelowna.
- Stayed at Cadder House when she came back to Kelowna to deliver her second child.
- There is a real need for short term rentals for hospital visits.
- Believes this application is a good compromise while keeping heritage status.
- In support of this application.

Briomy Cardiff, Sunset Drive

- Mother owns Unit 4 but needs to move due to health reasons.
- Supportive of rentals to hospital users.

Dr. Ethridge, Kelowna General Hospital

- This is an ideal location for a medical office which would not have a high turnover.
- Supportive of this proposal including commercial uses.

Katherine Wilner, Sunset Drive

- In favour of preserving the heritage stock of homes left in Kelowna.
- In support of this application.

Colin Meekum, Gallagher Road

- Has known the applicant for numerous years.
- Very Impressed with the current status of the Cadder House,
- As a stock broker I am not permitted to have a home based business and am required to have a separate office location. Would definitely be interested in renting one of the Cadder Houser basement suites as an office.
- Short term rentals are required for hospital users.
- In support of this application.

Jean Davis, Vancouver Cancer Care Worker

- In support of short term rentals for hospital uses.

Valerie Halford, Sutherland Avenue

- Current and former owner of a heritage property.
- It is a reflection on both past and current owners if the building has not been properly maintained.
- Has extensive history with implementation of the heritage area.
- Believes there are many options for short term stays in the area.
- Not in support of this application.

Resident (Dr.), Quilchena Drive

- In support of application including short term rentals.

Anne Laurie, Abbot Street

- Concern with proposed HRA is the uncertainty of the nature of secondary businesses.
- Limit uses to residents on a nightly, monthly or short term rental basis.
- Not in support of commercial use.

Janice Henry, Central Okanagan Heritage Society

- Referenced correspondence the Central Okanagan Heritage Society submitted.

- Cadder House is a designated Heritage Building which is one of 19 in Kelowna.
- The previous and current owners have not taken advantage of the heritage grants program over the past 8 years to assist with exterior maintenance costs. Can apply up to \$10,000 every three years.
- Noted that this is the second HRA application and what is stopping a third to change the use again.
- Proposed uses for the building should be narrowed.

Staff:

- Confirmed that an HRA is used in the same manner as a covenant on a property which restricts the uses on the property.

Hazel Christy, Applicant

- Confirmed that the owners had met with KSAN and AHAS and agreed to disagree on the HRA.
- Does not believe the HRA is equivalent to the HD3 zone. The HRA defines commercial use to one of four commercial uses.

There were no further comments

4. Termination

The Hearing was declared terminated at 9:20 p.m.

City Clerk **Deputy Mayor** /acm

7



City of Kelowna Regular Council Meeting Minutes

Date: Location:	Tuesday, August 11, 2015 Council Chamber City Hall, 1435 Water Street
Members Present	Deputy Mayor Luke <mark>Stack,</mark> Councillors Maxine DeHart, Ryan Donn, Gail Given, Tracy Gr <mark>ay, Ch</mark> arlie Hodge, Brad Sieben and Mohini Singh
Members Absent	Mayor Colin Basran
Staff Present	Acting City Manager, Doug Gilchrist; City Clerk, Stephen Fleming; Community Planning Manager, Ryan Smith; Community Planning Supervisor, Lindsey Ganczar*; Council Recording Secretary, Arlene McClelland

(* denotes partial attendance)

1. Call to Order

Deputy Mayor Stack called the meeting to order at 9:32 p.m.

2. Reaffirmation of Oath of Office

The Oath of Office was read by Councillor Gray.

3. **Confirmation of Minutes**

Moved By Councillor Hodge/Seconded By Councillor Donn

<u>R623/15/08/11</u> THAT the Minutes of the Public Hearing of July 28, 2015 be confirmed as circulated.

Carried

Moved By Councillor Hodge/Seconded By Councillor DeHart

<u>R624/15/08/11</u> THAT the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of July 28, 2015 be confirmed as circulated.

Carried

1

Moved By Councillor Donn/Seconded By Councillor Hodge

<u>R625/15/08/11</u> THAT Council move Items 6.2 and 6.3 before Item 4 on the Agenda.

Carried

6. Development Permit and Development Variance Permit Reports

6.2 1280 Highway 33 East, BL11095 (Z15-0011) - Imre & Jennifer Csorba

Moved By Councillor Singh/Seconded By Councillor DeHart

R626/15/08/11 THAT Bylaw No. 11095 be adopted.

Carried

6.3 1280 Highway 33 East, DP15-0078 & DVP15-0059 - Imre & Jennifer Csorba

Staff:

- Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application.

The City Clerk advised that no correspondence had been received.

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

Imre Csorba, Applicant

- The staff presentation covered all of his comments.
- Available for questions.

There were no further comments

Moved By Councillor Hodge/Seconded By Councillor Donn

R627/15/08/11 THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Permit No. DP15-0078 for Lot B Section 13 Township 26 ODYD Plan 25650, located at 1280 Highway 33 East, Kelowna, BC subject to the following:

- 1. The dimensions and siting of the building and landscaping to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule 'A';
- 2. The exterior design and finish of the building to be constructed on the land, be in general accordance with Schedule 'B';

AND THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Variance Permit No. DVP15-0059, for Lot B Section 13 Township 26 ODYD Plan 25650, located at 1280 Highway 33 East, Kelowna, BC;

AND THAT variances to the following sections of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted:

<u>Section 13.1.6 (b): RU1- Large Lot Housing Development Regulations</u> To vary the height of a carriage house from 4.8 m maximum to 5.75 m proposed.

<u>Section 13.1.6 (c): RU1- Large Lot Housing Development Regulations</u> To vary the required front yard setback from 4.5 m required to 3.66 m proposed. Section 9.5b.1 9d): Carriage House Regulations

To vary the upper storey floor area from the maximum 75% of the carriage house footprint to 76.55% proposed.

AND FURTHER THAT this Development Permit and Development Variance Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval with no opportunity to extend.

Carried

4. Bylaws Considered at Public Hearing

4.1 BL11114 (TA15-0006) - New C3rls - Community Commercial (Retail Liquor Sales) and C3lp - Community Commercial (Liquor Primary)

Moved By Councillor Hodge/Seconded By Councillor Gray

<u>R628/15/08/11</u> THAT Bylaw No. 11114 be read a second and third time.

Carried

4.2 561 McKay Avenue, BL11120 (OCP15-0009) - City of Kelowna

Moved By Councillor Given/Seconded By Councillor Donn

<u>**R629/15/08/11</u>** THAT Bylaw No. 11120 be read a second and third time.</u>

Carried

4.3 561 McKay Avenue, BL11121 (Z15-0026) - City of Kelowna

Moved By Councillor Gray/Seconded By Councillor Hodge

R630/15/08/11 THAT Bylaw No. 11121 be read a second and third time.

Carried

4.4 310 Mugford Road, BL11122 (Z15-0017) - Harjinder, Jaswinder & Swaran Mahli

Moved By Councillor Given/Seconded By Councillor Donn

R631/15/08/11 THAT Bylaw No. 11121 be read a second and third time.

Carried

Deputy Mayor Stack and Councillors DeHart and Hodge - Opposed.

4.5 140 Mugford Road, 405 & 425 Rutland Road, BL11123 - RA Quality Homes Ltd.

Moved By Councillor Singh/Seconded By Councillor DeHart

<u>**R632/15/08/11</u>** THAT Bylaw No. 11123 be read a second and third time.</u>

Carried

4.6 2124 Pandosy Street, BL11124 (HRA15-0001) - F. Devillier Medical Prof. Corp. et al

Moved By Councillor Sieben/Seconded By Councillor Singh

R633/15/08/11 THAT Bylaw No. 11124 be read a second and third time.

Councillor Gray - Opposed.

6. Development Permit and Development Variance Permit Reports

6.1 128 Clifton Road, DVP15-0125 - James Burkell & Brenda Mounce

Staff:

- Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and responded to questions from Council.

The City Clerk advised that no correspondence had been received.

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

Gallery:

Eric Zvaniga, Clifton Road

- Raised concerns with location of workshop and that it will tower over his property.
- Acknowledged that it's difficult to locate the building anywhere else on the site.

Kevin Edgecombe, Applicant

- The configuration of the property creates challenges to the design and location of the workshop.
- The garage is a low single storey structure which is half buried in the ground and is tastefully done as is the main house.
- Will continue to take the good neighbour approach.

There were no further comments

Moved By Councillor Given/Seconded By Councillor Donn

R634/15/08/11 THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Variance Permit No. DVP15-0125 for Lot A, Section 6, Township 23, ODYD, Plan KAP68067, located at 128 Clifton Road, Kelowna, BC;

AND THAT a variance to the following section of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted, as shown on Schedule "A":

Section 6.5.8(a): Accessory Development

To vary the minimum front yard for an accessory building from two times the distance of the required front yard setback, 9.0 m, permitted to 6.0 m proposed.

AND FURTHER THAT this Development Variance Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

Carried

6.4 1683 Ethel Street, DP15-0127 & DVP15-0128 - Boardwalk Housing Corp.

Staff:

- Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and responded to questions from Council.

The City Clerk advised that the following correspondence and/or petitions had been received:

Letters of support O. Lillie Randa, Leon Avenue

Letters of Concern or Opposition

Garth Thomson, Lawrence Avenue Leigh Krupinski (for Pat Kitsch), Leon Avenue Iris Jensen, Harvey Avenue Carlo DiStefano, Leon Avenue

Deputy Mayor Stack invited the applicant or anyone in the public gallery who deemed themselves affected to come forward, followed by comments of Council.

Jim Meiklejohn, Meiklejohn Architects Inc., Applicant Representative

- Displayed a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the application and rationale behind the design and variances.
- Confirmed the building will be constructed of wood.
- Confirmed there will be 24 hour security on site.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Moved By Councillor DeHart/Seconded By Councillor Given

<u>**R635/15/08/11</u>** THAT in accordance to Council Procedure Bylaw No. 9200, Council agrees the meeting proceed past 11:00 p.m.</u>

Carried

Gallery:

Chris Walker, Ethel Street

- Raised concern regarding the footprint.
- Would prefer the building to be higher and narrower.
- Raised concerns with parking and increased traffic.
- Noted that there is no guarantee the business model will work over the expected life of the building and that future uses may require more parking than is being proposed.
- Raised concern with the lack of response to their inquiries to the company behind this application. Did not receive a public consultation package.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Judy Burtchwall, across the street from Copperstone Gate

- Raised concerns with increase in vehicles and modes of transportation to and from UBCO and Okanagan College.
- Raised concerns with incompatible neighbouring land uses and noise levels as there is senior residences nearby.
- Responded to questions from Council.

Jim Meiklejohn & Jim Marcott, Applicant Representative

- Reiterated that the variances required are due to parking not to the lower height proposed.
- The building is in compliance with coverage and setbacks.
- Units are unique to student housing market.
- Complied with city's neighbourhood consultation policy.

- This is a privately managed business and tenants will be managed to minimize impact on the neighbourhood.
- Responded to questions from Council.

There were no further comments

Moved By Councillor Given/Seconded By Councillor DeHart

<u>R636/15/08/11</u> THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Permit No. DP15-0127 and DVP15-0128 for the following lots:

- Lot 2, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 3007 Except Plan 36604, located on 942 Harvey Avenue, Kelowna, BC; Lot 1, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 3007 Except Plan 36604, located on 932
- . Harvey Avenue, Kelowna, BC;
- Lot 2, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 3133 Except Plan 36604, located on 1683 0 Ethel Street, Kelowna, BC; Lot 1, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan <u>3133</u>, located on 1681 Ethel Street,
- Kelowna, BC:
- Lot 2, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 6535, located on 1667 Ethel Street, Kelowna, BC;
- Lot 1, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 6535, located on 1659 Ethel Street, 0 Kelowna, BC;
- Lot 3, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 6535, located on 931 Leon Avenue, Kelowna, BC;
- Lot 4, District Lot 138, ODYD, Plan 6535, located on 941 Leon Avenue, Kelowna, BC; AND
- The to be closed lane right-of-way that is adjacent to 932 Harvey Ave, 1683 Ethel Street, 1681 Ethel Street, 1667 Ethel Street, 1659 Ethel Street, and 931 Leon Avenue:

AND THAT the Development Permit be subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The dimensions and siting of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "A" as presented at the Council Meeting;
- 2. The exterior design and finish of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "B" as presented at the Council Meeting;
- 3. Landscaping to be provided on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "C" as presented at the Council Meeting;
- 4. That the applicant be required to post with the City, a Landscape Performance Security deposit in the form of a "Letter of Credit" in the amount of 125% of the estimated value of the landscaping, as determined by a professional landscaper;
- 5. That all the properties be consolidated into one parcel;

AND THAT the variances to the following sections of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted in accordance with the drawings attached to the Development Variance Permit described in Schedule "A", "B", & "C":

Section 13.12.6 (b) Development Regulations

Vary the maximum site coverage for principal buildings, accessory structures, parking areas and driveways from 50% allowed to 62.5% proposed.

<u>Section 13.12.6 (d) Development Regulations</u> Vary the minimum front yard setback from 6.0m required to 3.2m proposed.

Section 13.12.6 (f) Development Regulations Vary the minimum rear yard setback from 9.0m required to 7.92m proposed.

Section 8.5 (Table 8.1) General Provisions

To vary the minimum parking stalls from 139 parking stalls required to 126 parking stalls proposed.

AND THAT the applicant be required to complete the above noted conditions of Council's approval of the Development Permit/Development Variance Permit Applications in order for the permit to be issued;

AND THAT prior to issuance of the Building Permit, the requirements of the Development Engineering Branch dated June 26th 2015 be satisfied;

AND FURTHER THAT this Development Permit/Development Variance Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

Councillors Gray, Hodge and Sieben - Opposed.

- 7. Reminders Nil.
- 8. Termination

The meeting was declared terminated at 12:19 a.m.

Deputy Mayor Stack

/acm/sc<mark>f/slh</mark>

, Atei

7

City Clerk

CITY OF KELOWNA

BYLAW NO. 11126 Z15-0029 - W-Ten Development Ltd., Inc. No. BC0720702 1285 Graham Road

A bylaw to amend the "City of Kelowna Zoning Bylaw No. 8000".

The Municipal Council of the City of Kelowna, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. THAT City of Kelowna Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be amended by changing the zoning classification of Lot 78, Section 22, Township 26, ODYD, Plan 19162 located on Graham Road, Kelowna, B.C., from the RU1 Large Lot Housing zone to the RU6 Two Dwelling Housing zone.
- 2. This bylaw shall come into full force and effect and is binding on all persons as and from the date of adoption.

Read a first time by the Municipal Council this 10th day of August, 2015.

Considered at a Public Hearing on the

Read a second and third time by the Municipal Council this

Adopted by the Municipal Council of the City of Kelowna this

Mayor

City Clerk

CITY OF KELOWNA

BYLAW NO. 11129 Z15-0020 - Francesco Guarini 1457 Highway 33 East

A bylaw to amend the "City of Kelowna Zoning Bylaw No. 8000".

The Municipal Council of the City of Kelowna, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. THAT City of Kelowna Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be amended by changing the zoning classification of Lot 2, Section 13, Township 26, ODYD, Plan 23518 Except Plan KAP 86904 located on Highway 33 East, Kelowna, B.C., from the A1 Agriculture 1 zone to the RU6 Two Dwelling Housing zone.
- 2. This bylaw shall come into full force and effect and is binding on all persons as and from the date of adoption.

Read a first time by the Municipal Council this 10th day of August, 2015.

Considered at a Public Hearing on the

Read a second and third time by the Municipal Council this

Approved under the Transportation Act

(Approving Officer-Ministry of Transportation)

Adopted by the Municipal Council of the City of Kelowna this

Mayor

City Clerk

REPORT TO COUNCIL



Date:	August 25, 20	15		Kelowna
RIM No.	0930-60			
То:	City Manager			
From:	Community Pl	anning, Community P	anning & Real	Estate (LK)
Application:	LL15-0012		Owner:	City of Kelowna
Address:	315 Lawrence	Avenue	Applicant:	Marni Manegre (Wine & Art Kelowna Inc.)
Subject:	Liquor License	e Application		
Existing OCP D	esignation:	MXR - Mixed Use Resi	dential/Comm	nercial
Existing Zone:		C7 - Central Business	Commercial	

1.0 Recommendation

THAT Council directs Staff to forward the following recommendation to the Provincial Liquor Control and Licensing Branch (LCLB):

In accordance with Section 53 of the *Liquor Control and Licensing Regulation* and Council Policy #359, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- 1. Council recommends support of an application from Wine & Art Kelowna Inc.for a liquor primary license for Lot A, District Lot 139, Osoyoos Division Yale District, Plan 39412 except Air Space Plan KAP86153, located at 315 Lawrence Avenue, Kelowna, BC for the following reasons:
 - The application complies with Council Policy #359 guidelines for the siting and density of Liquor Primary Establishments, it is a modest size liquor primary establishment, caters to a niche market new to Kelowna and no concerns have been raised by the RCMP.
- 2. Council's comments on the Liquor Control & Licensing Branch (LCLB)'s prescribed considerations are as follows:
 - a) The location of the establishment:

The property is located within the Downtown core and is suitable for the proposed small-scale Liquor Primary license.

b) The proximity of the establishment to other social or recreational facilities and public buildings:

No negative impact on surrounding facilities/ buildings is anticipated.

c) The person capacity and hours of liquor service of the establishment:

The capacity and hours are deemed appropriate based on other establishments in the immediate area.

d) The number and market focus or clientele of liquor primary license establishments within a reasonable distance of the proposed location:

The size and focus of the establishment would compliment the existing establishments in the Downtown area.

e) The impact on the community in the immediate vicinity of the establishment:

The potential for noise would be compatible with surrounding land uses.

f) The impact on the community if the application is approved:

The proposed license would add to the continued development of a safew, vibrant Downtown area.

3. Council's comments of the views of residents area as contained within the minutes of the meeting at which the application was considered by Council. The methods used to gather views of residents were as per Council Policy #359 "Liquor Licensing Policy & Procedures."

2.0 Purpose

To seek Council's support for a Liquor Primary License for an existing Food Primary establishment located on the subject property.

3.0 Urban Planning

Council Policy #359 specifies guidelines for the siting and density of Liquor Primary Establishments. These parameters are designed to help control the density of late-night establishments with a focus on alcohol (nightclubs, pubs, etc). Given the location of establishments in the area, the application complies with Policy #359.

This application represents a modest sized liquor primary establishment. The business will cater to patrons who wish to participate in art classes, paint on-site, view local art and consume food and beverage. The focus is on wine and art education.Patrons are able to attend a class, reserve an easel to paint at their leisure or just come in for food & drink.

The target market is Kelowna's downtown working professionals. The focus is on the female market with the average ages being 35 - 65 years. The site will provide a safe environment for women to learn about local wine and art. As there are no televisions provided, the proposed liquor primary license will provide an artistic outlet for the average person which is lacking in Kelowna. Given the small scale and niche market, the business operation meets the intent of the City fostering the provision of facilities which diversify the selection of venues and entertainment opportunites throughout the Downtown.

Given the above considerations, Community Planning Staff is supportive of the proposed Liquor Primary establishment. In addition, the RCMP have no concerns with the proposal.

4.0 Proposal

4.1 Background

An application has been forwarded by the licensee to the Provincial Liquor Control and Licensing Branch (LCLB) for their approval. Procedurally, all new Liquor Primary license requests require Local Government comment.

4.2 Project Description

The applicant has requested a Liquor Primary (LP) license in order to create a small liquor primary establishment in the existing food primary establishment. The LP is being requested to allow for partron participation in the form of painting. As the seating capacity is under 100 patrons, the Liquor Primary (Minor) use does not require a rezoning. There are no changes to the interior or exterior of the building. Additionally, there are no other Liquor Primary - minor establishments on neighbouring properties. The draft occupant load shows a maximum occupant load of 50 persons.

Proposed License Summary Proposed Hours of Sale:

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Open	10:00am	11:00am	11:00am	11:00am	11:00am	11:00am	10:00am
Close	12:00am	12:00am	12:00am	12:00am	12:00am	12:00am	12:00am

4.3 Site Context

The subject property is located at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue and Water Street on the main floor in the north west corner of the Chapamn Parkade. The unit address is 315 Lawrence Avenue.

Subject Property Map: 305 - 375 Lawrence Avenue



5.0 Current Development Policies

5.1 Kelowna Official Community Plan (OCP)

Development Process

Entertainment Venues.¹ Within designated Urban Centres, encourage private-sector provision of facilities which diversify the selection of venues and entertainment opportunities throughout the city.

Downtown.² The City of Kelowna recognizes that a unique, attractive, thriving and livable downtown is strategically important to Kelowna's overall prosperity and success. Towards this end, the City will plan and manage the Downtown as a single and special entity and will take a proactive, comprehensive, integrated and collaborative approach towards providing services and infrastructure, delivering programs, and developing a supportive regulatory and financial environment.

6.0 Technical Comments

6.1 Building & Permitting Department

No Concerns

6.2 Bylaw Services

¹ City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.17.1 (Development Process Chapter).

² City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 8.9.2 (Economic Development Chapter).

No Concerns

- 6.3 Fire Department
 - 1) The fire department has no issues with this liquor license as long as this bistro does not cook anything that may produce grease laden vapors. This bistro does NOT currently have a commercial kitchen installed so should the menu change to include such foods, a commercial kitchen shall be installed.
- 6.4 R.C.M.P.

No Concerns

7.0 Application Chronology

Date of Application Received:	May 27, 2015
Date LCLB Package Received:	July 7, 2015

Report prepared by:

Lydia Korolchuk, Planner	_
Reviewed by:	Lindsey Ganczar, Planning Supervisor
Reviewed by:	Todd Cashin, Suburban and Rural Planning Manager
Approved for Inclusion:	Ryan Smith, Community Planning Department Manager

Attachments:

Subject Property Map Occupant Load Floor Plan LCLB Application Package Applicant Letter of Intent



Certain layers such as lots, zoning and dp areas are updated bi-weekly. This map is for general information only. The City of Kelowna does not guarantee its accuracy. All information should be verified.



July 7, 2015

Job # 26095043-1

Sent via email: rsmith@kelowna.ca

Ryan Smith City of Kelowna 1435 Water Street Kelowna, BC V1Y 1J4

Dear Ryan:

Re: Application Summary - Application for Liquor-Primary (LP) Licence Applicant: Wine & Art Kelowna Inc. Proposed Location: 315 Laurence Avenue, Kelowna Proposed Establishment Name: Wine & Art Bistro & Wine Bar

The applicant, Wine & Art Kelowna Inc., has applied to the Liquor Control and Licensing Branch (the Branch) for a liquor primary licence, to be located at the above address. The Branch has completed the initial review of the application to determine applicant suitability and eligibility of the establishment type for licensing. As part of that process, a Liquor Inspector has completed a site review of the proposed establishment location.

Having determined applicant eligibility, we are now proceeding to the Site and Community Assessment (SCA) stage which is the stage for local government input.

The City of Kelowna is requested to consider the application and provide the Branch with a resolution which includes their comments and recommendation with respect to the licence application. To assist with Council's assessment of the application, the Branch has prepared a summary report for review and consideration by Council. The summary report is based on information provided by the applicant and by Branch staff.

Please note that effective February 16, 2011, there has been a change in the regulatory criteria that Council is asked to consider and comment on. The amended regulatory criteria are reflected in the attached summary report. For more information on the change to the regulatory criteria, see LCLB policy directive 11-01 at http://www.pssg.gov.bc.ca/lclb/resources/index.htm#8

Council has 90 days to either provide comments to the general manager, or to advise that they wish to "opt out" of the process. Either must be in the form of a Council resolution. Additional time over the 90 days can be approved by the Branch if the request is received in writing prior to the end of the 90 day period.

Liquor Control and Licensing Branch Mailing Address: PO Box 9292 Stn Prov Govt Victoria BC V8W 9J8 Telephone: 250 952-5787 Facsimile: 250 952-7066

Location: 4th Floor, 3350 Douglas Street Victoria, BC

http://www.pssg.gov.bc.ca/lclb

Upon receipt of a Council Resolution, the Branch will review the Resolution to determine if all the regulatory criteria have been met in accordance with section 10 of the Liquor Control and Licensing Regulation and; if recommended by local government, assess whether the granting of the licence would be contrary to the public interest. Should you gather the views of local residents and businesses as part of your consideration, please be reminded that the applicant cannot gather the views themselves. As part of your process, the gathering of the views must be administered by the local government.

Following the rendering of a decision by the general manager as to whether to grant site and community approval, the applicant and the local government will be advised in writing.

Once granted site and community approval, the application proceeds to the building assessment stage of the licensing process, where floor plans are reviewed and if approved in principle, the applicant may proceed with construction/renovation of the establishment, followed by the final stages of the licensing process.

Further details of the liquor licensing application process can be found in the "Role of Local Government and First Nations in the Provincial Liquor Licensing Process" guidelines, enclosed for your reference and also available on the branch website at <u>http://www.hsd.gov.bc.ca/lclb/</u>.

If you have any questions regarding this application please contact me at 250 952-5775 or <u>emma.ross@gov.bc.ca</u>.

Yours sincerely,

Emma Ross Senior Licensing Analyst

Enclosure – Application Summary and supporting material

copy: Doug Hufsmith, Liquor Inspector Marni Manegre, Wine & Art Kelowna Inc.



APPLICATION SUMMARY

For Applicant and Local Government/First Nations

Date: July 7, 2015

Job # 26095043-1

Created by: Emma Ross, Senior Licensing Analyst

Re: Application for a Liquor Primary Licence Applicant: Wine & Art Kelowna Inc. Proposed Site: 315 Lawrence Avenue, Kelowna Proposed Establishment Name: Wine & Art Bistro & Wine Bar

1. APPLICATION INFORMATION

Date application deemed complete:	June 30, 2015
Local Government Jurisdiction:	City of Kelowna
The primary business focus of the proposed establishment:	Food and Beverage
Total person capacity/occupant load requested: (this number includes patrons plus staff)	50 Person 01, Lounge

Hours of Operation requested:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
11:00 AM	11:00 AM	11:00 AM	11:00 AM	11:00 AM	10:00 AM	10:00 AM
12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00
Midnight	Midnight	Midnight	Midnight	Midnight	Midnight	Midnight

Terms and Conditions Requested:

No special terms and conditions requested.

2. APPLICANT SUITABILITY INFORMATION (Fit and Proper)

Applicant has met the eligibility and suitability requirements of the Liquor Control and Licensing Act.

The following sections are compiled from information provided by the applicant except where indicated otherwise.

3. LOCATION/SITE FACTORS

The legal description of the proposed site is: Lot A Plan 39412 ODYD. This site is located in a cement parkade building. The proposed establishment will replace the existing Food Primary (#306260) that will be cancelled upon the licensing of the LP. The establishment will operate as a sophisticated wine bar catering to the arts community that want to discuss wine and art. Art and wine education courses will also be offered as an ancillary to the wine bar and bistro business. The proposed establishment has an indoor area only.

Art & Wine

See the attached Applicant's Letter of Intent for details of the proposed Liquor Primary establishment, including the following details:

- a) Business Focus or Purpose
- b) Target Market
- c) Composition of the Neighbourhood and Reasonable Distance Measure
- c) Benefits to the Community
- d) Noise in the Community
- f) Impact on the Community
- g) Other impacts, comments or requests

Please note that the applicant's letter of intent is enclosed as an attachment to this report for reference purposes. The information or statements included in the letter of intent have not been confirmed unless otherwise stated in this report.

4. CONTRAVENTION STATISTICS:

The Liquor Control and Licensing Branch will provide contravention statistics for liquor primary and liquor primary club establishments near the subject location upon request.

5. POPULATION AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC INFORMATION

- Circle population statistics for 2001 and 2006 are available from BC Stats by emailing your request to <u>BC.Stats@gov.bc.ca</u>
- BC Stats Community Facts includes the BC Benefits recipient and EI Beneficiary statistics and is available at <u>http://www.bcstats.gov.bc.ca/data/dd/facsheet/facsheet.asp</u>
- Statistics Canada Population breakdown by categories is available at: http://www12.statcan.ca/census-recensement/2006/dp-pd/prof/92-591/index.cfm?Lang=E

6. PUBLIC INTEREST

In providing its resolution on the proposed Liquor Primary application, local government must consider and comment on each of the regulatory criteria indicated below. The written comments must be provided to the general manager by way of a resolution within 90 days after the local government receives notice of the application, or any further period authorized by the general manager in writing.

Regulatory Criteria local government or First Nation must consider and comment on:

- a) The location of the establishment;
- b) The proximity of the establishment to other social or recreational facilities and public buildings;
- c) The person capacity and hours of liquor service of the establishment;
- d) The number and market focus or clientele of liquor primary licence establishments within a reasonable distance of the proposed location;
- e) The impact of noise on the community in the immediate vicinity of the establishment; and
- f) The impact on the community if the application is approved.

The local government or first nation must gather the views of residents in accordance with section 11.1 (2) (c) of the Act and include in their resolution:

- (i) the views of the residents,
- (ii) the method used to gather the views of the residents, and
- (iii) its comments and recommendations respecting the views of the residents;

A sample resolution template and comments are enclosed as attachments 2 and 3 to this report for reference purposes.

For use by Liquor Control and Licensing Branch:

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

Liquor Control and Licensing Act, sections: 11, 16 and 18 Liquor Control and Licensing Regulations sections: 4, 5, 6, 8, 10

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Policy Manual Section 3.2 Applicant Eligibility Assessment Policy Manual Section 3.3 Site and Community Assessment Policy Manual Section 3.4 Building Assessment and Issue of a Licence

ATTACHMENT 1

APPLICANT'S LETTER OF INTENT

PART 9: Letter of Intent and Site Map for Wine & Art Bistro & Wine Bar

a) Purpose: Wine & Art is a Bistro & Wine Bar that will be offering art classes and allow for painting in the establishment. The focus will be on Wine & Art Education with food and beverage service. Patrons will be able to either attend an art class, reserve an easel to paint at their leisure, or come in just for food and beverage.

b) Target market: Our target market is working professionals downtown Kelowna and the arts community in the Okanagan. We do not have TVs on premise; rather we showcase local art, so we find our clients tend to be 75% female in the average age range of 35 – 65 years.

c) Composition of the neighbourhood: We are located downtown Kelowna at 315 Lawrence Avenue. We are on the corner of the Chapman Parkade, which is a public parking structure for people who work downtown. We are one block away from the Public Park and beach. We are across the street from 2 high-end restaurants with chefs that have been featured on the food network. Other businesses in the area are: realtors, night clubs, spas, gyms, hair salons, financial institutions, retail shops, law offices, cafes, media companies, & security companies. We are across the street from the fire hall and ½ block away from the ambulance station.

d) Benefits of the proposed establishment to the community: We are currently operating as a restaurant, but would like to offer painting and art instruction in our establishment. Kelowna has a strong art community and the patrons here like to do activities. We also offer a safe environment for women to hang out, since the majority of our customers are women that come in to learn more about local Okanagan Wine and discuss the artwork on the walls.

e) Impact of noise on the community: The impact of noise to the community would be minimal. We're located in a concrete building in a Parkade in close proximity to nightclubs. We will not have bands on premise and, since we will be focused on Wine & Art education, the music volume will be low enough to hear the instructors.

f) Other impacts on the surrounding community: Our customers tend to be sophisticated and, even though we have a strict house policy with alcohol service, our customers tend to be responsible. We're very cautious to offer non-alcoholic beverages, food service, and verify transportation to any customers who have consumed more than 2 alcoholic beverages. Since we're located close to strip clubs and other nightclubs, the impact of our establishment on the surrounding community is minimal.



Ross, Emma JAG:EX

From:Marni Manegre <marni.manegre@live.ca>Sent:Monday, June 29, 2015 5:32 PMTo:Ross, Emma JAG:EXSubject:RE: New Liquor Primary Application Wine & Art Kelowna 26095043-1

Hi Emma,

We would be offering lessons Tuesday and Thursday evenings. We would have food and beverage service for patrons all the time and it would be food and beverage only on other days when lessons are not offered.

Marni Manegre

From: <u>Emma.Ross@gov.bc.ca</u> To: <u>marni.manegre@live.ca</u> Subject: New Llquor Primary Application Wine & Art Kelowna 26095043-1 Date: Mon, 29 Jun 2015 23:45:56 +0000

Hello Marni, I am in receipt of the above LP application. In considering the proposed business, could you please respond to the following:

How often would you be offering lessons? Would the establishment be open to food and beverage only patrons when no lessons were being offered?

Regards,

Emma Ross Senior Licensing Analyst Liquor Control and Licensing Branch Ministry of Justice Phone 250 952-5775 Fax 250 952-7066

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Site Map - See Attached Downtown Kelowna Map

• Site location: 315 Lawrence Ave, Kelowna BC – as indicated by the blue star on the attached map.

• Road access, egress, parking and all residential areas: We're 5 blocks west of residential area on Lawrence and 3 blocks north of a residential area, which is on the other side of Harvey Ave (Highway 97). We're located in the corner of the Chapman Parkade with access to Lawrence Ave (2 blocks away from Harvey Ave).

• All other licensed liquor primary or liquor primary club establishments and liquor primary applications in progress: The closest liquor primary establishments to our location are: Cake (formerly Cheetahs) and Liquid Zoo, which are one block over on Lawrence Avenue and Micro Bites + Bar, located one block over on Water St., The Blue Gator on Lawrence Ave, Tonics on Leon Ave.. Other Liquor Primary Sites are indicated with a triangle symbol on the attached Map.

• Churches: The closest church is located on the corner of Richter St & Bernard Ave (approximately 5 blocks away). It is outside the boundary of the attached map.

Clubs

 Schools (K-12, colleges, universities) – There are no schools downtown Kelowna or in a 5 block radius.

 Preschools – There are no preschools downtown Kelowna or in a 5 block radius

• Day care centres – The closest Day Care Centre is "Day Care Connections", which is on Bernard Ave and Ethel Street, about 6 blocks away. It is outside the boundary of the attached map.

• Health care facilities – The closest Health Care Centre is Kelowna Naturopathic Health Care Centre, which is on Water Street (across the street from us). On Bernard Street, there is the Foot Care Centre. All other Health Care Centres are greater than 5 blocks away and outside the boundary of the attached map.

• Seniors facilities – The office of Classic Caregivers is one block south of our location on Water St. The closest live-in facility for seniors is approximately 7 blocks North and East of our location and outside the boundary of the attached map.

 Recreational/sports facilities – The downtown marina is approximately 2 blocks away and the park and beach are approximately 1 block west. 30 Minute Hit is the closest sports facility on Lawrence Ave and approximately 1 block
West. Okanagan Pilates is 1 block East on Pandosy St. Bikram Yoga is located 2 blocks East on Lawrence Ave, Spinco is approximately 3 blocks away on Bernard, and another Yoga studio is also on Bernard Ave. All sports facilities are



indicated with the heart symbol on the attached map.

• Police stations – The police Station is on Water Street, just passed Bernard Avenue and approximately 3 blocks away and outside the boundary of the attached map.

• Fire halls – The Fire Hall is across the street on Water Street and is indicated on the map with the symbol of the lightning bolt.

• Libraries – The Library is on Ellis Street approximately 6 blocks away and outside of the boundary of the map.

• Government buildings – City Hall is on Water St approximately 4 blocks away and outside the boundary of the map.

I have chosen to include Downtown Kelowna as the boundaries. Downtown Kelowna is North of Highway 97, which is a clear boundary limit. I have provided slightly greater than a 2 block radius, as we're located in the centre of a small city.

I have also attached some photos of the premise, which show the existing signs and the outside of the building. The signs will only reflect the business name.







Lightning Bolt = Firehall


ATTACHMENT 2

Sample Resolution Template for a Liquor-Primary or Liquor-Primary Club Licence Application

General Manager, Liquor Control and Licensing Branch

RE: Application for a liquor-primary licence at: (address of proposed establishment)

At the (council/board) meeting held on (date), the (council/board) passed the following resolution with respect to the application for the above named liquor licence:

"Be it resolved that:

1. The (council/board) (recommends/does not recommend) the issuance of the licence for the following reasons: (detail and explain reasons for recommendation)

2. The (council's/board's) comments on the prescribed considerations are as follows: (see the following page for sample comments for each criterion – a comment on each must be included in the resolution. Where a staff report has been prepared that addresses the criteria this can be used to provide Council's comments provided the staff report is referenced in the resolution and there is a clear statement that Council endorsed the comments in the report.

- (a) The location of the establishment (provide comments)
- (b) The proximity of the establishment to other social or recreational facilities and public buildings (provide comments)
- (c) The person capacity and hours of liquor service of the establishment (provide comments)
- (d) The number and market focus or clientele of liquor-primary licence establishments within a reasonable distance of the proposed location (provide comments)
- (e) The impact of noise on the community in the immediate vicinity of the establishment (provide comments), and
- (f) The impact on the community if the application is approved (provide comments)

3. The (council's/board's) comments on the views of residents are as follows: (describe the views of residents, the method used to gather the views and provide comments and recommendations with respect to the views. If the views of residents were not gathered, provide reasons).

The undersigned hereby certifies the above resolution to be a true copy of the resolution passed by the (council/board) of (local government/First Nation) on (date).

Sincerely,

(signature) (name and title of official) (local government/First Nation)

Note:

- All of the items outlined above in points 1, 2(a) through (h) and 3 must be addressed in the resolution in order for the resolution to comply with section 10 of the Liquor Control and Licensing Regulation.
- Any report presented by an advisory body or sub-committee to the council or board may be attached to the resolution.

ATTACHMENT 3

Sample Resolution Comments for a Liquor-Primary Licence Application

The following are examples that illustrate the type of comments that local government and First Nations might provide to demonstrate they have taken into consideration each of the criterion in reaching their final recommendation. Comments may be a mix of positive, negative and neutral observations relevant to each criterion. The final recommendation is the result of balancing these 'pros and cons'.

The list is not intended to illustrate every possible comment as the variations are endless, given the wide range of applications and local circumstances.

It is important that the resolution include the comment and not refer to a staff report, as the general manager cannot suppose that the local government considered all the criteria unless comment on each criterion is specifically addressed in the resolution itself.

Local government or First Nation staff may wish to contact the Liquor Control and Licensing Branch for assistance on drafting the content of a resolution before it is presented to local government or First Nation to avoid resolutions that do not comply with the regulations.

(a) The location of the establishment:

The location is in a commercial area that is removed from nearby residences and is suitable for a late night entertainment venue where some street noise at closing time can be anticipated.

(b) The proximity of the establishment to other social or recreational facilities and public buildings:

The only nearby social, recreational and public buildings do not conflict with the operation of a late night entertainment venue.

- or -

The proposed location is across a lane from a church with an attached retirement facility and church hall routinely used for youth group gatherings. The proximity of the proposed establishment is not considered compatible with the existing facilities.

- (c) The person capacity and hours of liquor service of the establishment The maximum person capacity of 250 with closing hours of 2:00 a.m. Tuesday through Saturday and midnight on Sunday is acceptable. A larger capacity or later hours is not supported given the few number of police on duty at that time.
- (d) The number and market focus or clientele of liquor-primary licence establishments within a reasonable distance of the proposed location: The existing establishments are large public house establishments that focus on exotic entertainment or are nightclubs that attract patrons 19 to 25 years of age. The proposed establishment is a small local pub style facility with an extensive menu and is designed to appeal to couples wanting a quiet adult venue for socializing in their community. There are no other (or few other) facilities with a similar focus.
- (e) The impact on the community in the immediate vicinity of the establishment: The establishment is not expected to negatively affect traffic patterns and noise is not expected to be an issue because [of the small size and early hours] – or – [the applicant has agreed to various noise baffling strategies to ensure the neighbours are not disturbed by late night music]. The applicant has met the requirements of the zoning bylaw with regard to road access and parking.

(f) The impact on the community if the application is approved: If the application is approved, the impact is expected to be positive in that it will support the growth in tourism and offer a new social venue for residents.

The Council's comments on the views of residents are as follows:

The views of residents within a half mile* of the proposed establishment were gathered by way of *written comments that were received in response to a public notice posted at the site and newspaper advertisements placed in two consecutive editions of the local newspaper. Residents were given 30 days from the date of the first newspaper advertisement to provide their written views. Residents were also given an opportunity to provide comments at the public meeting of Council held on March 18, 200X.

A total of 63 responses were received from businesses and residents. Of the responses received, 21 were in support of the application citing the creation of additional jobs and a new entertainment venue for the area as their primary reasons. A total of 42 letters were received in opposition to the application. The primary reason cited by those in opposition was the proposed closing hours of 4 am. A number of business residents in the area also cited the lack of parking as an area of concern.

The following three examples illustrate ways Council may complete their comments on the views of residents based upon the preceding fact pattern.

 Council agrees that a 4 am closing time for this establishment would not be appropriate and therefore recommends that the establishment have a closing time of 2 am to be consistent with the other licensed establishments in the area. Council does not agree with the parking concerns raised by some of the local businesses as the proposed establishment's peak operating hours will be after the surrounding businesses have closed.

Council recommends that a liquor licence be issued with hours of operation ceasing at 2 am. Council believes that the majority of residents in the area support the issuance of the licence provided the closing hours are no later than 2 am. The establishment will create new jobs and provide a new entertainment venue that is needed in this area.

– or –

2. There are 6450 residents within the half mile radius of the proposed establishment. Notwithstanding that there is a two to one ratio of opposed residents to residents that support the application Council is of the view that the 42 residents who are in opposition represent a small proportion of the overall population that may be affected by this establishment. Council also notes that frequently only residents who oppose a proposal are the ones that respond; consequently Council is of the view that the rest of the residents are not opposed to issuance of a liquor licence for this establishment.

Council recommends that a liquor licence be issued with hours of operation ceasing at 4 am. Council believes that the majority of residents in the area support the issuance of the licence. The establishment will create new jobs and provide a new entertainment venue that is needed in this area.

3. Based upon the input received by residents within a half mile of the proposed establishment there is a two to one ratio of opposed residents to residents that support the application. The opposition to this establishment comes from both homeowners and businesses. Council is of the view that with both the residential and business communities' opposition to this proposed establishment that the issuance of a licence for this establishment would be contrary to the community standard for this area.

Despite the potential creation of additional jobs and a new entertainment venue for the area Council is unable to support the issuance of a liquor licence for this establishment. Council recommends that a licence not be issued.

*The local government or First Nation determines the appropriate area to be included and the method for gathering those views

BYLAW NO. 11107 Z15-0008 - Danny and Mary Cresswell 902 Clifton Road

A bylaw to amend the "City of Kelowna Zoning Bylaw No. 8000".

The Municipal Council of the City of Kelowna, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. THAT City of Kelowna Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be amended by changing the zoning classification of Lot 20, Section 30, Township 26, ODYD, Plan 11261 located on Clifton Road, Kelowna, B.C., from the RU1 Large Lot Housing zone to the RU1c Large Lot Housing with Carriage House zone.
- 2. This bylaw shall come into full force and effect and is binding on all persons as and from the date of adoption.

Read a first time by the Municipal Council this 22nd day of June, 2015.

Considered at a Public Hearing on the 14th day of July, 2015.

Read a second and third time by the Municipal Council this 14th day of July, 2015.

Adopted by the Municipal Council of the City of Kelowna this

Mayor

City Clerk

REPORT TO COUNCIL



Date:	August 25, 20)15		Kelowr
RIM No.	0940-40			
То:	City Manager			
From:	Community P	lanning Department (L	_K)	
Application:	DP15-0057 & DVP15-0056		Owner:	Dan & Mary Cresswell
Address:	902 Clifton R	oad	Applicant:	Dan & Mary Cresswell
Subject:	Development	Permit & Developmer	nt Variance Pe	ermit Applications
Existing OCP D	esignation:	S2RES - Single / Two	Unit Residen	tial
Existing Zone:		RU1 - Large Lot Hous	sing	
Proposed Zone	:	RU1c - Large Lot Hou	using with Car	riage House

1.0 Recommendation

THAT final adoption of Rezoning Bylaw No. 11107 be considered by Council;

AND THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Permit No. DP15-0057 for Lot 20 Section 30 Township 26 ODYD Plan 11261, located at 902 Clifton Rd, Kelowna, BC subject to the following:

- 1. The dimensions and siting of the building and landscaping to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule 'A';
- 2. The exterior design and finish of the building to be constructed on the land, be in general accordance with Schedule 'B';

AND THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Variance Permit No. DVP15-0056, for Lot 20 Section 30 Township 26 ODYD Plan 11261 located at 902 Clifton Road, Kelowna, BC;

AND THAT variances to the following section of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted:

Section 13.1.7(c): RU1 - Large Lot Housing - Other Regulations

To vary the distance from a Carriage House to the principal dwelling from 3.0m permitted to 2.08m proposed.

AND FURTHER THAT this Development Permit and Development Variance Permit be valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval with no opportunity to extend.

2.0 Purpose

To consider the form and character, and a variance, for the conversion of an existing Accessory Building to a Carriage House.

3.0 Community Planning

Community Planning Staff support the building separation variance to allow the conversion of an existing Accessory Building to a Carriage House. The form and character of the Carriage House fits within the local context and the building meets all other zoning regulations. Any increased life safety requirements will be addressed with the Building Permit application.

The parcel is located within the Permanent Growth Boundary. Policies within the Official Community Plan (OCP) support sensitive integration into existing neighbourhoods where services are already in place and densification can easily be accommodated.

4.0 Proposal

4.1 Background

The Accessory Building was constructed in 1981 with an addition in 1999. Applications for the Carriage House were applied for with Z03-0069, DVP04-0054. All readings for the Zoning application were rescinded and due to inactivity the applications were closed. Currently, complaint file #299698 with Bylaw Services has prompted the current applications to legalize the dwelling unit, which was constructed without the appropriate permits in place.

4.2 Project Description

The Carriage House is located along the north side property line of the subject parcel. The existing Accessory Building has a workshop in the east portion of the building and a dwelling unit in the west portion. The building finishes match the existing primary dwelling with vertical siding and stone veneer accents along the front of the buildings. Private open space is provided on the west side of the accessory building.

The parcel provides one parking stall within the attached garage of the primary dwelling, and two parking stalls on a large driveway area to meet the Bylaw parking requirements for both the existing dwelling and the Carriage House.

The zoning requirements for a Carriage House vary from those required for an Accessory Building. A variance is required to allow the Carriage House to be located 2.08 m from the primary dwelling. The Zoning Bylaw requires a 3.0 m minimum separation from the primary dwelling. The requirement for an Accessory Building is 1.0 m minimum separation and the original building was constructed to exceed this requirement. All other zoning regulations for a Carriage House have been met.

In fulfillment of Council Policy No. 367 regarding public consultation, the applicant undertook neighbour consultation by providing proposal application packages to each of the adjacent parcels within 50 0 of the subject parcel.

4.3 Site Context

The subject property is located on the west side of Clifton Road in the Glenmore/Clifton area of Kelowna.

Orientation	Zoning	Land Use
North	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Residential
East	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Residential
South	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Residential
West	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Vacant

Specifically, adjacent land uses are as follows:

Subject Property Site Photo: 902 Clifton RdSouth ElevationCarriage House location

Variance required for Separation Distance



Subject Property Map: 902 Clifton Rd



4.4 Zoning Analysis Table

Zoning Analysis Table						
CRITERIA	RU1c ZONE REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSAL				
Ex	isting Lot/Subdivision Regulatio	ns				
Min. Lot Area	550m ²	1486.44m ²				
Min. Lot Width	16.5m	24.38m				
Min. Lot Depth	30m	60.96m				
	Development Regulations					
Site Coverage	40%	18.92%				
Site Coverage: accessory buildings or structures and carriage house (one storey)	20%	6.3%				
Floor area of carriage house (footprint)	100m²	56.72m²				
Max. area of carriage house (total building area)	130m²	93.65m²				
Max. net floor area of carriage house to total net floor area of principal building	75%	49.91%				
Height (mid point of roof)	4.8m	4.13m				
Setback from Principal Dwelling	3.0m	2.08m•				
	Carriage House Regulations					
Max. Height	4.8m	3.66m				
Min. Side Yard (north)	2.0m	2.3m				
Min. Rear Yard (west)	0.9m	21.64m				
Height (carriage house shall not be higher than existing primary dwelling unit)	5.48m ex. House roof peak	4.88m Carriage house roof peak				
	Other Regulations					
Minimum Parking Requirements	3 stalls	3 stalls				
Private Open Space	30 m ²	30m ²				
Indicates a requested variance for separa	• Indicates a requested variance for separation distance from the carriage house to the primary dwelling.					

• Indicates a requested variance for separation distance from the carriage house to the primary dwelling.

5.0 Current Development Policies

5.1 Kelowna Official Community Plan (OCP)

Development Process

Compact Urban Form.¹ Develop a compact urban form that maximizes the use of existing infrastructure and contributes to energy efficient settlement patterns. This will be done by increasing densities (approximately 75 - 100 people and/or jobs located within a 400 metre walking distance of transit stops is required to support the level of transit service) through development, conversion, and re-development within Urban Centres (see Map 5.3) in particular and existing areas as per the provisions of the Generalized Future Land Use Map 4.1.

¹ City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.2.3 (Development Process Chapter).

Sensitive Infill.² Encourage new development or redevelopment in existing residential areas to be sensitive to or reflect the character of the neighborhood with respect to building design, height and siting.

6.0 Technical Comments

6.1 Building & Permitting Department

1) Development Cost Charges (DCC's) are required to be paid prior to issuance of any Building Permit(s)

2) Full Plan check for Building Code related issues will be done at time of Building Permit applications.

6.2 Development Engineering Department

See attached Memorandum dated February 10, 2015.

7.0 Application Chronology

Date of Application Received:	March 17, 2015
Date Public Consultation Completed:	June 1, 2015
Date of Rezoning 2 nd & 3 rd Reading:	July 14, 2015

Report prepared by:

Lydia Korolchuk, Planner	_
Reviewed by:	Lindsey Ganczar, Planning Supervisor
Approved for Inclusion:	Ryan Smith, Community Planning Department Manager
Attachments:	
Site Context Map	
Site Plan/ Landscape Plan -	Schedule 'A'
Floor Plans - Schedule 'A'	
Elevations - Schedule 'B'	
Development Engineering M	emorandum
Draft Development Permit -	DP15-0057, DVP15-0056

² City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.22.6 (Development Process Chapter).



Certain layers such as lots, zoning and dp areas are updated bi-weekly. This map is for general information only. The City of Kelowna does not guarantee its accuracy. All information should be verified.

APPROVED ISSUANCE OF A:

Development Permit No. DP15–0057 DVP15-0056

EXISTING ZONING DESIGNATION:	RU1c
WITHIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREA:	N/A

ISSUED TO: Dan & Mary Cresswell

LOCATION OF SUBJECT SITE: 902 Clifton Rd

	LOT	D.L.	PLAN	SECTION	TOWNSHIP	DISTRICT
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	20		11261	30	26	ODYD

SCOPE OF APPROVAL

- □ This Permit applies to and only to those lands within the Municipality as described above, and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
- □ This Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the Municipality applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit, noted in the Terms and Conditions below.
- □ Applicants for Development and Development Variance Permit should be aware that the issuance of a Permit limits the applicant to be in strict compliance with regulations of the Zoning Bylaw or Subdivision Control Bylaw unless specific Variances have been authorized by the Permit. No implied Variances from bylaw provisions shall be granted by virtue of drawing notations which are inconsistent with bylaw provisions and which may not have been identified as required Variances by the applicant or City staff.

1. TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- a) THAT the dimensions and siting of the building and the landscaping are to be constructed on the land in general accordance with Schedule "A".
- b) THAT the exterior design / finish are to be constructed on the land in general accordance with Schedule "B":
- c) AND THAT the variance to the following section Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted: <u>Section 13.1.7(c): Other Regulations</u> To vary the distance from a carriage house to the principal dwelling from 3.0m permitted to 2.08m proposed
- 2. The development shall commence by and in accordance with an approved Building Permit within TWO YEARS of the date of the Municipal Council authorization resolution.
- 3. <u>PERFORMANCE SECURITY</u>: None Required.

4. <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>:

The land described herein shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.

If the Permittee does not commence the development Permitted by this Permit within two years of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse.

This Permit is not transferable unless specifically permitted by the Municipality. The authorization to transfer the Permit shall, if deemed acceptable, be granted by Council resolution.

THIS Permit IS NOT A BUILDING Permit.

5. <u>APPLICANT'S AGREEMENT</u>:

I hereby declare that all the above statements and the information contained in the material submitted in support of this Permit are to the best of my belief, true and correct in all respects. Upon issuance of the Permit for me by the Municipality, then in such case, I covenant and agree to save harmless and effectually indemnify the Municipality against:

- (a) All actions and proceedings, costs, damages, expenses, claims, and demands whatsoever and by whomsoever brought, by reason of the Municipality granting to me the said Permit.
- (b) All costs, expenses, claims that may be incurred by the Municipality if the construction by me of engineering or other types of works as called for by the Permit results in damages to any property owned in whole or in part by the Municipality or which the Municipality by duty or custom is obliged, directly or indirectly in any way or to any degree, to construct, repair, or maintain.

I further covenant and agree that should I be granted a Development Permit or Development Variance Permit, the Municipality may withhold the granting of any occupancy Permit for the occupancy and/or use of any building or part thereof constructed upon the hereinbefore referred to land until all of the engineering works or other works called for by the Permit have been completed to the satisfaction of the Municipal Engineer and Director of Land Use Management.

Should there be any change in ownership or legal description of the property, I undertake to notify the Land Use Management Department immediately to avoid any unnecessary delay in processing the application.

I HEREBY UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO ALL THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SPECIFIED IN THIS PERMIT.

Signature of Owner/Authorized Agent

Date

Print Name in Bold Letters

Telephone No.

6. <u>APPROVALS</u>:

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AUTHORIZED BY COUNCIL ON THE ____ DAY OF _____, 2015.

ISSUED BY THE URBAN PLANNING DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF KELOWNA THE _____ DAY OF _____, 2015 BY THE DIVISIONAL DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY PLANNING & REAL ESTATE.

MEMORANDUM

Date: April 2, 2015

File No.: Z15-0008 & DP15-0057

To: Urban Planning (LK)

From: Development Engineering Manager

Subject: 902 CLIFTON RD

RU1c

Development Engineering has the following comments and requirements associated with this application to rezone from RU1 to RU1c.

1. Domestic Water and Fire Protection

Our records indicate this property is currently serviced with a 19mm-diameter water service. The service is adequate for the proposed application.

2. <u>Sanitary Sewer</u>

Our records indicate that this property is serviced with a 100mm-diameter sanitary sewer service complete with inspection chamber. The service is adequate for the proposed application.

3. Access, Manoeuvrability and Parking Requirements

The proposed parking arrangement does not work. The designated suite parking space blocks access to one of the required primary residence parking spaces. All vehicles will also have to reverse onto Clifton Rd

4. Electric Power and Telecommunication Services

It is the applicant's responsibility to make a servicing application with the respective electric power, telephone and cable transmission companies to arrange for service upgrades to these services which would be at the applicant's cost.

Steve Muenz, P. Eng. Development Engineering Manager

JF

MEMORANDUM

 Date:
 April 2, 2015

 File No.:
 DVP15-0056

To: Urban Planning (LK)

From: Development Engineering Manager

Subject: 902 CLIFTON RD

RU1c

Development Engineering has the following comments and requirements associated with this application.

The Development variance permit application to vary the carriage house distance to an existing principal building does not compromise our servicing requirements

M Steve Muenz, R. Eng. Development Engineering Manager

JF





REPORT TO COUNCIL



Date:	August 25, 20)15		Kelowna
RIM No.	0940-60			
То:	City Manager			
From:	Community P	lanning, Community P	lanning & Rea	ll Estate (LB)
Application:	HAP15-0005		Owner:	David Harold Beedle & Claire Elizabeth Beedle
Address:	277 Mathison	Place	Applicant:	Claire Beedle
Subject:	Heritage Alte	ration Permit Applicat	tion	
Existing OCP D	esignation: S2RES - Single / Two		Unit Resident	tial
Existing Zone:		RU1 - Large Lot Hous	sing	

1.0 Recommendation

THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Heritage Alteration Permit No. HAP15-0005 for Lot 4, District Lot 14, ODYD, Plan 7769, located at 277 Mathison Place, Kelowna, BC, subject to the following:

- 1. The dimensions and siting of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "A";
- 2. The exterior design and finish of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "B";

AND THAT variances to the following sections of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted, as shown on Schedule "C":

Section 13.1.6(c): RU1 - Large Lot Housing Development Regulations

To vary the minimum front yard from 4.5 m permitted to 3.0 m proposed.

Section 13.1.6(d): RU1 - Large Lot Housing Development Regulations

To vary the minimum side yard from a flanking street from 4.5 m permitted to 2.8 m proposed.

AND FURTHER THAT this Heritage Alteration Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

2.0 Purpose

To consider a heritage Alteration Permit for the form and character of additions to an existing single detached house and avariances to reduce the minimum front and flanking street side yard setbacks.

3.0 Community Planning

Community Planning Staff supports the additions to the existing house and variances to the front and side yard setbacks. The additions complement the overall character of the house, build on its Colonial Revival features and are generally in keeping with the Heritage Conservation Area guidelines. The requested variances allow for more functional use of the property through the creation of a two car garage and further enhance the architectural style with the formal front entrance.

Form and Character

The additions are consistent with the characteristics of the Colonial Revival style identified for the property and they will enhance the formal nature of the house. Replacing the existing carport on the east side of the house will be a two car garage, symmetrically balanced by the addition of new living space on the west side of the house. The design features of the established style will be retained in the roof, window and entrance details. The existing exterior materials will be refinished and similar materials will be used on the new portions of the house. The new entry feature will add to the property's formal character and create a more grand entrance consistent with the Colonial Revival style.



Proposed Changes and Additions to the Existing House

Consideration has been given to the Heritage Conservation Area guidelines as identified in Chapter 16 of the City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, and the application generally meets the guidelines. Many of the guidelines regarding maintaining the established streetscape massing, setbacks and styles are not applicable because of the property's specific context. It is the only property of the Colonial Revival style in the vicinity and is smaller than most of the surrounding properties at the end of Mathison Place and along Abbott Street.

Although many of the mature trees on the property will be retained, the existing cedar hedge along Abbott Street is not in healthy condition and will be removed. A 1.8 m high solid fence is proposed along the Abbott Street and Mathison Place frontages, with gates for the driveway and walkway entrances. The guidelines for the Heritage Conservation Area include provisions for

fencing to be less than 1 m in height, consistent with that of neighbouring properties and to have clear sightlines from the street to the dwelling. The property owner would like a higher fence to address privacy concerns with being a corner lot abutting the Abbott Street Recreational Corridor.

Front and Side Yard Variances

As per Section 2 of the Zoning Bylaw, the front lot line of a residential corner lot is the narrowest street frontage. In this case, the east lot line along Abbott Street is considered the front lot line and the north lot line along Mathison Place is a flanking street side lot line. The required setback for both the front and flanking street side yards is 4.5 m.

Due to the existing location of the house, the applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the minimum front yard from 4.5 m permitted to 3.0 m proposed. This will accommodate the addition of a two car garage on the east side of the property, replacing and expanding on the existing carport. The garage will be oriented towards Mathison Place and use the existing driveway access from Mathison Place. The side of the garage will face Abbott Street and the applicant has included windows at the top of the garage wall to improve this facade.

To allow for the new entrance feature facing Mathison Place,

the applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the minimum flanking street side yard from 4.5 m permitted to 2.8 m proposed. This variance only applies to the new extended entry, which spans approximately 4 m (13 ft) in width along the Mathison Place frontage.

Staff support the requested variances to accommodate the garage and entry feature, enhancing the heritage character of the property. No negative impacts to surrounding properties are anticipated since the variances are towards streets rather than other properties.

In fulfillment of Council Policy No. 367 concerning public notification and consultation, the applicant contacted property owners within 50 m of the subject property with information about the proposed development. No concerns were identified through this consultation. At the time of writing, Staff has not been contacted with any questions or concerns regarding this application.

4.0 Proposal

4.1 Project Description

The applicant is proposing to add onto the existing single detached house to create new and more functional living space as well as enhance the heritage character of the property. The main changes are as follows:

- Build new living space on the west side of the house towards the rear of the property;
- Convert the existing carport and add onto it to create a two car garage on the east side of the house towards the front of the property;
- Extend and change the front entrance on the north side of the house towards the side of the property;
- Add to the existing accessory structure on the west side of the property; and
- Replace the existing hedges along the east and north property lines with a fence and gates that match the character of the house.

The Abbott Street and Marshall Street Heritage Conservation Areas Development Guidelines identify the subject property as the Colonial Revival style, one of only two examples of this style outside of the Maple Street and Lake Avenue area. Defining characteristics of the Colonial Revival style include:

- Stately feel to the architecture
- High to medium gable roof forms
- Horizontal lap-board siding
- 1 ¹/₂ and 2 storeys with attic massing
- Grand entrance
- Vertical double-hung window openings
- Single and multi-sash window assembly
- Window shutters
- Multiple pane windows
- Symmetrical front facades on formal homes
- Grand front drive and rear yard parking



The applicant is looking to enhance the architectural details of the house in keeping with the Colonial Revival style.

4.2 Site Context

The subject property is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Abbott Street and Mathison Place in the Abbott Street Heritage Conservation Area and the Central City Sector. The property is designated S2RES - Single / Two Unit Residential in the Official Community Plan. The surrounding area is a single dwelling housing residential neighbourhood.

Adjacent land uses are as follows:

Orientation	Zoning	Land Use
North	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Single dwelling housing
East	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Single dwelling housing
South	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Single dwelling housing
West	RU1 - Large Lot Housing	Single dwelling housing

Subject Property Map: 277 Mathison Place



4.3 Zoning Analysis Table

Zoning Analysis Table					
CRITERIA	RU1 ZONE REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSAL			
	Existing Lot				
Minimum Lot Area	550 m ²	613.2 m ²			
Minimum Lot Width	17.0 m	16.8 m			
Minimum Lot Depth	30.0 m	36.6 m			
	Development Regulations				
Maximum Site Coverage (buildings)	40%	33.1%			
Maximum Site Coverage (buildings, driveways and parking)	50%	39.8%			
Maximum Height	9.5 m	7.1 m			
Minimum Front Yard	4.5 m	3.0 m o			
Minimum Side Yard (south)	2.0 m (1 or 1 ½ storey portion) 2.3 m (2 or 2 ½ storey portion)	2.0 m 3.3 m			
Minimum Side Yard (north)	4.5 m (flanking street)	2.8 m 🛛			
Minimum Rear Yard	7.5 m	7.5 m			
	Other Regulations				
Minimum Parking Requirements 2 stalls					
Indicates a requested variance to reduce	the minimum front yard from 4.5 m permit	ted to 3.0 m proposed			

• Indicates a requested variance to reduce the minimum front yard from 4.5 m permitted to 3.0 m proposed.

Indicates a requested variance to reduce the minimum flanking street north side yard from 4.5 m permitted to 2.8 m proposed.

5.0 Current Development Policies

5.1 Kelowna Official Community Plan (OCP)

Heritage Conservation Area Guidelines

Consideration has been given to the following guidelines as identified in Chapter 16 of the City of Kelowna Official Community Plan relating to Heritage Conservation Areas:

HERITAGE CONSERVATION AREA	YES	NO	N/A
Site Layout and Parking			
Are established front yard setbacks maintained within 10% of neighbouring building setbacks?			~
Are parking spaces and garages located in the rear yard?		~	
Are established building spacing patterns maintained?			~
Does the carriage house complement the character of the principal dwelling?			~
Are accessory buildings smaller than the principal building?	~		
Building Massing			
Is the established streetscape massing maintained?			~
Is the massing of larger buildings reduced?	~		
Roof Forms, Dormers and Chimneys			
Is the roof pattern in keeping with neighbouring buildings?		~	
Are skylights hidden from public view?			~
Are high quality, low maintenance roofing materials being used?	~		
Are the roofing materials similar to traditional materials?	~		
Are the soffit, overhang and rain water drainage features in keeping with the building's architectural style?	~		
Do secondary roof elements have a similar pitch as the principal roof?	~		
Are chimneys in keeping with the building's architectural style?	~		
Cladding Materials		·	·
Are low maintenance building materials being used?	~		
Are the building materials similar to traditional materials?	~		
Are exterior colours in keeping with the traditional colours for the building's architectural style?	✓		

HERITAGE CONSERVATION AREA	YES	NO	N/A
Doors and Windows			
Are established window placement, style and window-to-wall area ratios maintained?	~		
Are established door placement, style and door-to-wall area ratios maintained?	~		
Is the main entrance a dominant feature visible from the street?	~		
Is the main entrance in keeping with the building's architectural style?	~		
Are the door and window design details consistent with the building's architectural style?	~		
Landscaping, Walks and Fences			
Are existing healthy mature trees being retained?	~		
Is the front yard landscaping consistent with neighbouring properties?		~	
Is street facing fencing or screening landscaping no more than 1 m in height?		~	
Privacy and Shadowing Guidelines			
Are there clear sightlines from the street to the front yard and dwelling?		~	
Does the building location minimize shadowing on the private open space of adjacent properties?	~		

6.0 Technical Comments

- 6.1 Building & Permitting Department
 - A Geotechnical Report is required to address the subsoil conditions and site drainage at time of Building Permit application.
 - This property falls within the Mill Creek Flood Plain Bylaw area and compliance is required. Minimum building elevations are required to be established prior to the release of the Heritage Alteration Permit. This minimum Geodetic elevation is required for all habitable spaces including garages. This building may be designed too low, which may affect the form and character of the building.
 - Full Plan check for Building Code related issues will be done at time of Building Permit applications. Please indicate how the requirements of Radon mitigation and NAFS are being applied to this structure at time of permit application.
- 6.2 Development Engineering Department
 - See attached memorandum, dated June 23, 2015.

7.0 Application Chronology

Date of Application Received:	June 10, 2015
Date Public Consultation Completed:	July 18, 2015

Report prepared by:

Laura Bentley, Planner	
Reviewed by:	Lindsey Ganczar, Planning Supervisor
Approved for Inclusion:	Ryan Smith, Community Planning Department Manager
Attachments:	
Subject Property Map	
Development Engineering I	
Draft Heritage Alteration F	
Schedule A: Site Plan and I	
Schedule B: Elevations and	
Schedule C: Site Plan with	Variances



Certain layers such as lots, zoning and dp areas are updated bi-weekly. This map is for general information only. The City of Kelowna does not guarantee its accuracy. All information should be verified.

60

MEMORANDUM

Date: File No.:	June 23, 2015 HAP15-0005	
То:	Urban Planning (LB)	
From:	Development Engineering Manager (SM)	
Subject:	277 Mathison Pl	Garage

Development Engineering has the following comments and requirements associated with this application. The utility upgrading requirements outlined in this report will be a requirement of this development.

1. Domestic Water and Fire Protection

This property is currently serviced with a 19mm-diameter copper water service. The service will be adequate for this application.

2. Sanitary Sewer

Our records indicate that this property is currently serviced with a 100mm-diameter sanitary sewer service which is adequate for this application.

3. Development Permit and Site Related Issues

Direct the roof drains into on-site rock pits.

4. Electric Power and Telecommunication Services

It is the applicant's responsibility to make a servicing application with the respective electric power, telephone and cable transmission companies to arrange for service upgrades to these services which would be at the applicant's cost.

Sleve Muenz, P. Eng. Development Engineering Manager

SS

APPROVED ISSUANCE OF A:

Heritage Alteration Permit No.: HAP15-0005

EXISTING ZONING DESIGNATION: RU1 – Large Lot Housing

ISSUED TO: David & Claire Beedle

LOCATION OF SUBJECT SITE: 277 Mathison Place

	LOT	BLOCK	D.L.	TOWNSHIP	DISTRICT	PLAN
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	4	-	14	-	ODYD	7769

SCOPE OF APPROVAL

- □ This Permit applies to and only to those lands within the Municipality as described above, and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
- □ This Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the Municipality applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit, noted in the Terms and Conditions below.

□ Applicants for a Heritage Alteration Permit should be aware that the issuance of a Permit limits the applicant to be in strict compliance with regulations of the Zoning Bylaw or Subdivision Control Bylaw unless specific Variances have been authorized by the Permit. No implied Variances from bylaw provisions shall be granted by virtue of drawing notations which are inconsistent with bylaw provisions and which may not have been identified as required Variances by the applicant or City staff.

1. TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- 1. The dimensions and siting of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "A";
- 2. The exterior design and finish of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "B".

THAT variances to the following sections of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted, as shown on Schedule "C":

Section 13.1.6(c): RU1 – Large Lot Housing Development Regulations

To vary the minimum front yard from 4.5 m permitted to 3.0 m proposed.

Section 13.1.6(d): RU1 – Large Lot Housing Development Regulations

To vary the minimum side yard from a flanking street from 4.5 m permitted to 2.8 m proposed.

AND THAT this Heritage Alteration Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

HAP15-0005

2. <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>:

The land described herein shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.

If the Permittee does not commence the development Permitted by this Permit within two years of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse.

This Permit is not transferrable unless specifically Permitted by the Municipality. The authorization to transfer the Permit shall, if deemed acceptable, be granted by Council resolution.

THIS Permit IS NOT A BUILDING Permit.

<u>APPLICANT'S AGREEMENT</u>:

I hereby declare that all the above statements and the information contained in the material submitted in support of this Permit are to the best of my belief, true and correct in all respects. Upon issuance of the Permit for me by the Municipality, then in such case, I covenant and agree to save harmless and effectually indemnify the Municipality against:

- (a) All actions and proceedings, costs, damages, expenses, claims, and demands whatsoever and by whomsoever brought, by reason of the Municipality granting to me the said Permit.
- (b) All costs, expenses, claims that may be incurred by the Municipality if the construction by me of engineering or other types of works as called for by the Permit results in damages to any property owned in whole or in part by the Municipality or which the Municipality by duty or custom is obliged, directly or indirectly in any way or to any degree, to construct, repair, or maintain.

I further covenant and agree that should I be granted a Heritage Alteration Permit, the Municipality may withhold the granting of any occupancy Permit for the occupancy and/or use of any building or part thereof constructed upon the hereinbefore referred to land until all of the engineering works or other works called for by the Permit have been completed to the satisfaction of the Municipal Engineer and Director of Community Planning & Real Estate.

Should there be any change in ownership or legal description of the property, I undertake to notify the Community Planning Department immediately to avoid any unnecessary delay in processing the application.

I HEREBY UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO ALL THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SPECIFIED IN THIS PERMIT.

Signature of Owner/Authorized Agent

Date

Print Name in Bold Letters

Telephone No.

4. <u>APPROVALS</u>:

HERITAGE ALTERATION PERMIT AUTHORIZED BY THE COUNCIL ON THE ____ DAY OF _____ 2015. ISSUED BY THE COMMUNITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF KELOWNA THE _____ DAY OF _____ 2015 BY THE COMMUNITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT MANAGER.
















REPORT TO COUNCIL



Date:	August 25, 20	15		Kelowna	
RIM No.	0940-50				
То:	City Manager				
From:	Community Planning Department (LG)				
Application:	DVP15-0168		Owner:	Doyle Avenue Holdings Ltd. Inc. No. BC1003539	
Address:	505 Doyle Ave		Applicant:	Gibbs Gage Architects (Eric Longchamp)	
Subject:	Development Variance Permit Application				
Existing OCP Designation:		MXR - Mixed Use (Residential / Commercial)			
Existing Zone:		C7 - Central Business	Commercial		

1.0 Recommendation

THAT Council authorizes the issuance of Development Variance Permit No. DVP15-0168 for Lot A, District Lot 139, ODYD Plan EPP25652 located at 505 Doyle Avenue, Kelowna, BC;

AND THAT further variances to following sections of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted:

Section 14.7.5 (e) - C7 Development Regulations

To vary the approved 3.00 m setback from a street requirement from 19 m to 21.14 m above grade (DVP14-0103 previously varied from 15 m above grade permitted to 19 m).

Section 14.7.5 (h) - C7 Development Regulations

To vary the approved maximum floor plate areas above 15 m from 1879 m^2 to 2842 m^2 on the fifth floor (DVP14-0103 previously varied from 676.0 m^2 permitted to 1879 m^2).

Section 14.7.5 (i) - C7 Development Regulations (on the fifth floor)

- a) To vary the maximum continuous horizontal dimension above 15 m from 26 m allowed to 38.3 m proposed (east elevation);
- b) To vary the maximum continuous horizontal dimension above 15 m from 52 m allowed to 59.2 m proposed (north elevation) (DVP14-0103 previously varied from 26 m permitted to 52m); and
- c) To vary the maximum continuous horizontal dimension above 15 m from 54 m allowed to 65 m proposed (south elevation) (DVP14-0103 previously varied from 26 m permitted to 54 m).

Section 14.7.5 (j) - C7 Development Regulations

To vary the maximum diagonal dimension of a floor plate above 15.0 m from 80 m to 90.2 m on the fifth floor (DVP14-0103 previously varied from 39.0 m permitted to 80 m).

AND THAT Council considers the Public Consultation Process outlined in the Report from the Community Planning Department for DVP14-0103 dated August 19, 2014 to be appropriate consultation for the purpose of Section 879 of the *Local Government Act*;

AND FURTHER THAT this Development Variance Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

2.0 Purpose

To vary several regulations in the C7 zone for the subject property.

3.0 Community Planning

Community Planning supports the proposed variances for the *Kelowna Community Health Services Centre* (IHA Building) fifth floor expansion. The development regulations in the C7 zone were written to facilitate tall, narrow high-rises on small, downtown lots. This is evident in the maximum building height (44m) and the maximum floor area ratio (9) regulations.

In this case, several lots were consolidated to allow for an office building that has large floor plates but lower height. The floor plates are larger than 676m² as this allows for flexible and adaptable programming areas on each floor. In addition, the proposed building is approximately half of the allowed height in the zone which results in a more human-scale building from the outside pedestrian realm and the adjacent uses.

4.0 Proposal

4.1 Background

The IHA Building was approved in 2014. Council approved the form and character development permit, as well as a development variance permit (DVP). The DVP was required to vary the C7 rules to allow for the shape of the building. The development plans that were approved showed the fifth floor as future expansion area, and not to be built immediately.

4.2 Project Description

The applicants have now decided to complete the fifth floor construction at the same time as the rest of the building. The form and character of the building has not changed, and the new plans simply reflect that the area that was denoted for expansion is now finalized design.

The finalized fifth floor area requires similar variances to those that were approved for the remainder of the building.

While the applicant is seeking several variances for their proposal, they relate only to the shape of the fifth floor plate. As the C7 rules were written with tall, narrow buildings in mind, the proposed low rise building extends beyond the restrictive maximum dimensions. These include minimum setback, maximum floor plate area, and maximum diagonal width.

4.3 Site Context

The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Doyle Avenue and Ellis Street. Neighbouring the site is The Madison to the north, Memorial Arena to the west, and commercial

uses to the east and south. The site is located within the Central City Sector and the City Centre (Downtown) Urban Centre.

Specifically, adjacent land uses are as follows:

Orientation	Zoning	Land Use
North	C7 - Central Business Commercial	MXR - Mixed Use (Residential / Commercial)
West	P1 - Major Institutional, C7 - Central Business Commercial	EDINST - Educational / Institutional
East	C4 - Urban Centre Commercial	MXR - Mixed Use (Residential / Commercial)
South	C4 - Urban Centre Commercial, C7 - Central Business Commercial	MXR - Mixed Use (Residential / Commercial)

Subject Property Map:



5.0 Current Development Policies

5.1 Kelowna Official Community Plan (OCP)

Compact Urban Form.¹ Develop a compact urban form that maximizes the use of existing infrastructure and contributes to energy efficient settlement patterns. This will be done by increasing densities (approximately 75 - 100 people and/or jobs located within a 400 metre walking distance of transit stops is required to support the level of transit service) through development, conversion, and re-development within Urban Centres (see Map 5.3) in particular and existing areas as per the provisions of the Generalized Future Land Use Map 4.1.

Downtown Development.² Support rezoning to C7 use in the downtown Urban Centre area only where properties are surrounded on a minimum of 3 sides by existing C7 zoning. The intent of this policy is to support intensification within the existing core areas of Downtown.

Retention of Commercial Land.³ In order to ensure that the City's commercial land supply is not eroded, where the OCP Bylaw 10500 indicated a commercial land use designation for the property, the expectation would be that there be no net loss of commercial space on the site as a result of the redevelopment to include other uses.

Office Building Location.⁴ Encourage office buildings providing more than 929 m2 of useable space to locate in the City Centre or the Town Centres. This policy does not include offices integral to business park / industrial uses and "corporate offices" allowable under relevant industrial zones.

6.0 Technical Comments

No concerns.

7.0 Application Chronology

Date of Application Received:

July 22, 2015

Report prepared by:

Lindsey Ganczar, Planning Supervisor

Reviewed by:

Ryan Smith, Community Planning Department Manager

¹ City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.3.2 (Development Process Chapter).

² City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.3.4 (Development Process Chapter).

³ City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.24.2 (Development Process Chapter).

⁴ City of Kelowna Official Community Plan, Policy 5.25.2 (Development Process Chapter).

Attachments: Property Location Map Site Plan Conceptual Elevations Map Output



Certain layers such as lots, zoning and dp areas are updated bi-weekly. This map is for general information only. The City of Kelowna does not guarantee its accuracy. All information should be verified.

77





KELOWNA COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES CENTRE









CITY OF KELOWNA

APPROVED ISSUANCE OF A:

Development Variance Permit No.:

DVP15-0168

EXISTING ZONING DESIGNATION:C7 - Central Business CommercialDEVLOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT:To vary: the setback from a property line abutting a street for any
portion of a building above 15 m in height, the maximum floor plate
for areas above 15 m in height, the maximum continuous horizontal
dimension for any portion of a building above 15 m in height, and the
maximum diagonal dimension of a floor plate situated above 15 m in
height.

ISSUED TO: Doyle Avenue Holdings Ltd.

LOCATION OF SUBJECT SITE: 505 Doyle Avenue

	LOT	SECTION	D.L.	TOWNSHIP	DISTRICT	PLAN
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	А	-	139	-	ODYD	EPP25652

SCOPE OF APPROVAL				
	This Permit applies to and only to those lands within the Municipality as described above, and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.			
	This Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the Municipality applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit, noted in the Terms and Conditions below.			
	Applicants for Development and Development Variance Permit should be aware that the issuance of a Permit limits the applicant to be in strict compliance with regulations of the Zoning Bylaw or Subdivision Control Bylaw unless specific Variances have been authorized by the Permit. No implied Variances from bylaw provisions shall be granted by virtue of drawing notations which are inconsistent with bylaw provisions and which may not have been identified as required Variances by the applicant or City staff.			

1. TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

THAT variances to following sections of Zoning Bylaw No. 8000 be granted, as shown on Schedule "A":

Variance A: Section 14.7.5 (e) - C7 Development Regulations

To vary the minimum 3.0 m setback from any property line abutting a street for any portion of a building above 15 m in height to 21.1 m in height.

Variance B: <u>Section 14.7.5 (h) - C7 Development Regulations</u> To vary the maximum floor plate for areas above 15 m in height from 676 m² to 2,842 m² on the fifth floor.

Variance C: Section 14.7.5 (i) - C7 Development Regulations

To vary the maximum continuous horizontal dimension for any portion of a building above 15 m in height from 26.0 m to 38.3 m for the east elevation, from 26.0 m to 59.2 m for the north elevation and from 26.0 m to 65.0 m for the south elevation on the fifth floor.

Variance D: Section 14.7.5 (j) - C7 Development Regulations

To vary the maximum diagonal dimension of a floor plate situated above 15 m in height from 39.0 m to 90.2 m on the fifth floor.

AND THAT this Development Variance Permit is valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

2. <u>PERFORMANCE SECURITY</u>:

None required.

3. <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>:

The land described herein shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.

If the Permittee does not register the subdivision Permitted by this Permit within two years of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse.

This Permit is not transferable unless specifically permitted by the Municipality. The authorization to transfer the Permit shall, if deemed acceptable, be granted by Council resolution.

THIS Permit IS NOT A BUILDING Permit.

4. APPLICANT'S AGREEMENT:

I hereby declare that all the above statements and the information contained in the material submitted in support of this Permit are to the best of my belief, true and correct in all respects. Upon issuance of the Permit for me by the Municipality, then in such case, I covenant and agree to save harmless and effectually indemnify the Municipality against:

- (a) All actions and proceedings, costs, damages, expenses, claims, and demands whatsoever and by whomsoever brought, by reason of the Municipality granting to me the said Permit.
- (b) All costs, expenses, claims that may be incurred by the Municipality if the construction by me of engineering or other types of works as called for by the Permit results in damages to any property owned in whole or in part by the Municipality or which the Municipality by duty or custom is obliged, directly or indirectly in any way or to any degree, to construct, repair, or maintain.

I further covenant and agree that should I be granted a Development Permit or Development Variance Permit, the Municipality may withhold the granting of any occupancy Permit for the occupancy and/or use of any building or part thereof constructed upon the hereinbefore referred to land until all of the engineering works or other works called for by the Permit have been completed to the satisfaction of the Municipal Engineer and Divisional Director of Community Planning & Real Estate.

Should there be any change in ownership or legal description of the property, I undertake to notify the Community Planning & Real Estate Department immediately to avoid any unnecessary delay in processing the application.

I HEREBY UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO ALL THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SPECIFIED IN THIS PERMIT.

Signature of Owner/Authorized Agent	Date	
Print Name in Bold Letters	Telephone No.	
APPROVALS:		
DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT AUTHORIZED BY THE O ISSUED BY THE COMMUNITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT O BY THE COMMUNITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT MANAGER.	F THE CITY OF KELOWNA THE DAY OF	2015. 2015,

Ryan Smith, Community Planning Department Manager Community Planning & Real Estate

5.